arXiv:1608.06062v1 [math.RT] 22 Aug 2016

REMARKS ON PBW BASES OF RINGEL-HALL ALGEBRAS OF CYCLIC QUIVERS

ZHONGHUA ZHAO

Abstract. In this paper, we give a recursive formula for the interesting PBW basis E_A of composition subalgebras of Ringel-Hall algebras $\mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)$ of cyclic quivers after [9], and another construction of canonical bases of $\mathbf{U}_v^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ from the monomial bases $m^{(A)}$ follow [17]. As an application, we will determined all the canonical basis of $\mathbf{U}_v^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ associated with modules of Lowery length $\leqslant 3$. Finally, we will discuss the relation of canonical bases of Ringel-Hall algebras and those of affine quantum Schur algebras.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The Ringel-Hall algebras of cyclic quivers 3
3. Generic extension and distinguished words 5
4. A recursive formula for PBW basis E_A 6
5. Another construction of canonical bases for quantum affine $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ 9
6. Application to $\mathbf{U}_v^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ 13
7. Relation to canonical bases of affine quantum Schur algebras 17
8. Appendix: Tightness of monomial basis via quadratic form 21
References 23

1. Introduction

Following the remarkable realization [34, 36] of the $\pm$-part of quantum enveloping algebras of finite type in terms of Hall algebras, Ringel [35] introduced the generic Hall algebra $\mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)$ associated with a cyclic quiver $\Delta(n)(n\geqslant 2)$ and show that its composition subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}_\triangle(n)$ is isomorphic to the $\pm$-part of the quantum enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. By Drinfeld's double, the whole quantum enveloping algebra was realized by double Ringel-Hall algebras $\mathfrak{D}_\triangle(n)$.

Based on the work of Ringel-Hall algebras, another landmark theory was Lusztig's introduction [26] of the canonical basis of the quantum enveloping algebra of a simple complex Lie algebra, and extended to the general cases [27, 28]. Meanwhile, Kashiwara [23] introduced the crystal basis theory for arbitrary Kac-Moody algebras and obtained the global crystal basis, which was shown those two bases are coincident [20]. For affine type A, Deng, Du and Xiao [9] constructed monomial bases and canonical bases of Ringel-Hall algebras and its composition subalgebras algebraically. Moreover, Beck

Date: August 23, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37,16G20.
Key words and phrases. Ringel-Hall algebras, cyclic quivers, PBW bases, canonical bases, affine quantum Schur algebras. The paper was written while the author was visiting the University of New South, the hospitality and support of UNSW are gratefully acknowledged. He also would like to thank Prof. J. Du for posing the questions and many helpful discussion, and the China Scholarship Council for the financial support.

etc. [1, 2] gave another algebraic construction of PBW bases and canonical bases in the affine case, but when restricted to affine type A, the relation to the PBW bases in [9] via quiver representations remains unclear.

Even in the finite case of lower rank, computing canonical bases is in general very difficult, there are only some results of finite type (see, e.g., [26, §3] for types A_1 and A_2 and [38, 39] for type A_3, B_2). Partial results about canonical bases of affine A_1 were showed by Lusztig in [30, Sec. 12] or [29, 14.5.5]. Recently, Du and the author [17] used the multiplication formulas in [15] to compute all the canonical bases associated with modules of Lowey length at most 2 for quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_2$.

Schur algebras or their quantum analogue, q-Schur algebras, are a class of finite dimensional algebras which play an important role in the theory of Schur-Weyl duality. The affine analogy of q-Schur algebras was given by Ginzberg-Vasserot [18]. Later, several other version have appeared in [31, 19]. In the affine A case, the surjective map ζ_r from double Ringel-Hall algebras $\mathfrak{D}_{\vartriangle}(n)$ to affine q-Schur algebras $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$ was established in [37], for details, see also [7]. The canonical bases of affine q-Schur algebras were studied by Lusztig [31] via geometric method. Recently, Du and Fu [13] give an algebraic construction of those canonical bases.

The main motivation for this paper results from analysing Deng, Du and Xiao's realization of the PBW bases and canonical bases for the positive part $\mathbf{U}^+$ of quantum affine $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ via generic extension of Ringel-Hall algebras for cyclic quivers. In [9], the authors constructed the PBW basis E_A for the Lusztig $\mathcal{Z}(=\mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}])$-form $U^+_{\mathcal{Z}}$ from the strong monomial basis property established in [5], and investigated the triangular relations of the bar involution on these basis elements. Through a standard linear algebra method, they obtained the canonical basis of quantum affine $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, which agreed with Lusztig's geometric construction of canonical basis in [28]. The remarkable property of those PBW basis is following, for A aperiodic, E_A was combined by $\widetilde{u}_A$ and some η^C_A-linear combination of $\widetilde{u}_C$ with C periodic and $\eta^C_A \in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$. In this paper, we first give a recursive formula of those η^C_A. Then, we review the construction of the canonical basis of $\mathfrak{H}_{\vartriangle}(n)$ following [17] and give another construction of canonical basis of $\mathbf{U}^+_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ from the monomial basis $m^{(A)}$. As an application, we will determine all the canonical basis of $\mathbf{U}^+_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ associated with modules of Lowery length $\leqslant 3$. Finally, we discuss the relation between the canonical bases of Ringel-Hall algebras $\mathfrak{H}_{\vartriangle}(n)$ to those of affine q-Schur algebras $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$ through the epimorphism ζ_r. In the appendix, we talk about the tightness of monomials with 3 terms and 4 terms in $\mathbf{U}^+_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

1.1. **Notation.** For a positive integer n, let $M_{\vartriangle,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the set of all $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}$ matrices $A=(a_{i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $a_{i,j}\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that

(1) $a_{i,j}=a_{i+n,j+n}$ for $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$, and
(2) for every $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, both the set $\{j\in\mathbb{Z}\mid a_{i,j}\neq 0\}$ and $\{j\in\mathbb{Z}\mid a_{j,i}\neq 0\}$ are finite.

Let $\Theta_{\vartriangle}(n)=M_{\vartriangle,n}(\mathbb{N})$ be the subset of $M_{\vartriangle,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ consisting of matrices with entries from $\mathbb{N}$,

$$\Theta^+_{\vartriangle}(n)=\{A\in\Theta_{\vartriangle}(n)\mid a_{ij}=0 \text{ for } i\geqslant j\} \text{ and } \Theta^-_{\vartriangle}(n)=\{A\in\Theta_{\vartriangle}(n)\mid a_{ij}=0 \text{ for } i\leqslant j\}.$$

For $A\in\Theta_{\vartriangle}(n)$, write

$$A=A^+ + A^0 + A^-,$$

where A^0 is the diagonal submatrix of A, $A^+\in\Theta^+_{\vartriangle}(n)$, and $A^-\in\Theta^-_{\vartriangle}(n)$.

The *core* of a matrix A in $\Theta^+_{\vartriangle}(n)$ is the $n\times l$ submatrix of A consisting of rows from 1 to n and columns from 1 to l, where l is the column index of the right most non-zero entry in the given n rows.

Set $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle}=\{(\lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mid \lambda_i\in\mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i=\lambda_{i-n} \text{ for } i\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^n_{\vartriangle}=\{(\lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\in\mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle}\mid \lambda_i\geqslant 0 \text{ for } i\in\mathbb{Z}\}$. For each $A\in M_{\vartriangle,n}(\mathbb{Z})$, let

$$\mathsf{row}(A)=(\Sigma_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}a_{i,j})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\in\mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle},\quad \mathsf{col}(A)=(\Sigma_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}a_{i,j})_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\in\mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle}.$$

Define an order relation $\leqslant$ on $\mathbb{N}_{\triangle}^n$ by $\lambda \leqslant \mu \Longleftrightarrow \lambda_i \leqslant \mu_i (1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$. We say $\lambda < \mu$ if $\lambda \leqslant \mu$ and $\lambda \neq \mu$.

Let $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ be the fraction field of $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$. For integers N, t with $t \geqslant 0$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\triangle}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{\triangle}^n$, define Gaussian polynomial and their symmetric version in $\mathcal{Z}$:

$$[\![t]\!]! = [\![1]\!][\![2]\!] \cdots [\![t]\!] \quad \text{with} \quad [\![m]\!] = \frac{v^{2m}-1}{v^2-1}.$$

$$\left[\!\!\left[\begin{matrix} N \\ t \end{matrix} \right]\!\!\right] = \frac{[\![N]\!]!}{[\![t]\!]![\![N-t]\!]!} = \prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant t} \frac{v^{2(N-i+1)-1}}{v^{2i}-1}, \quad \left[\!\!\left[\begin{matrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{matrix} \right]\!\!\right] = \prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \left[\!\!\left[\begin{matrix} \mu_i \\ \lambda_i \end{matrix} \right]\!\!\right] \quad \text{and} \quad \left[\begin{matrix} N \\ t \end{matrix} \right] = v^{-t(N-t)} \left[\!\!\left[\begin{matrix} N \\ t \end{matrix} \right]\!\!\right].$$

2. The Ringel-Hall algebras of cyclic quivers

Let $\Delta = \Delta(n) (n \geqslant 2)$ be the cyclic quiver



with vertex set $I = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ and arrow set $\{i \to i+1 \mid i \in I\}$, and $k\Delta$ be the path algebra of Δ over a field k. For a representation $M = (V_i, f_i)_i$ of Δ, let $\mathbf{dim} M = (\dim V_1, \dim V_2, \cdots, \dim V_n) \in \mathbb{N}I = \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\dim M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \dim V_i$ denote the dimension vector and the dimension of M, respectively, and let $[M]$ denote the isoclass(isomorphism class) of M.

A representation $M = (V_i, f_i)_i$ of Δ over k(or a $k\Delta$-module) is called *nilpotent* if the composition $f_n \cdots f_2 f_1 : V_1 \to V_1$ is nilpotent, or equivalently, one of the $f_{i-1} \cdots f_n f_1 \cdots f_i : V_i \to V_i (2 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$ is nilpotent. By $\mathsf{Rep}^0 \Delta = \mathsf{Rep}_k^0 \Delta(n)$ we denote the category of finite dimensional nilpotent representations of $\Delta(n)$ over k. For each vertex $i \in I$, there is a one-dimensional representation S_i in $\mathsf{Rep}^0 \Delta$ satisfying $(S_i)_i = k$ and $(S_i)_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$. It is known that $\{S_i \mid i \in I\}$ form a complete set of simple objects in $\mathsf{Rep}^0 \Delta$.

Up to isomorphism, all indecomposable representations in $\mathsf{Rep}^0 \Delta$ are given by $S_i[l] (i \in I$ and $l \geqslant 1)$ of length l with top S_i.

Thus, for any $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \Theta_{\triangle}^+(n)$,

$$M(A) = M_k(A) = \bigoplus_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, i < j} a_{i,j} S_i[j-i],$$

which means all finite dimensional nilpotent representations of $\Delta(n)$ are indexed by $\Theta_{\triangle}^+(n)$.

A matrix $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \Theta_{\triangle}^+(n)$ is called *aperiodic* if, for each $l \geqslant 1$, there exists $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_{i,i+l} = 0$. Otherwise, A is called *periodic*. Denote by $\Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)$(resp. $\Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)$) the set of all aperiodic(resp. periodic) matrix in $\Theta_{\triangle}^+(n)$. A nilpotent representation $M(A)$ is called *aperiodic(resp. periodic)* if A is aperiodic(resp. periodic).

For $\mathbf{a} = (a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\triangle}^n$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\triangle}^n$, the Euler form associated with the cyclic quiver $\Delta(n)$ is the bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle : \mathbb{Z}_{\triangle}^n \times \mathbb{Z}_{\triangle}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} a_i b_i - \sum_{i \in I} a_i b_{i+1}.$$

Let k be a *finite* field of q_k elements and, for $A, B, C \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{+}(n)$, let $\mathfrak{H}_{M_k(B),M_k(C)}^{M_k(A)}$ be the number of submodules N of $M_k(A)$ such that $N \cong M_k(C)$ and $M_k(A)/N \cong M_k(B)$. More generally, given $A, B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_m \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{+}(n)$, denote by $\mathfrak{H}_{M_k(B_1),M_k(B_2),\cdots,M_k(B_m)}^{M_k(A)}$ the number of filtrations

$$M_0 = M_k(A) \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq M_{m-1} \supseteq M_m = 0,$$

such that $M_{t-1}/M_t \cong M_k(B_t)$ for $1 \leqslant t \leqslant m$. By [21, 35], there is a polynomial $\varphi_{B_1,B_2,\cdots,B_m}^{A} \in \mathbb{Z}[v^2]$ in v^2, called the *Hall polynomials*[1], such that for the finite field k,

$$\varphi_{B_1,B_2,\cdots,B_m}^{A}\big|_{v^2=q_k} = \mathfrak{H}_{M_k(B_1),M_k(B_2),\cdots,M_k(B_m)}^{M_k(A)}.$$

The generic(twisted) Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n)$ of $\Delta(n)$ is by definition the $\mathcal{Z}$-algebra with basis $\{u_A = u_{[M(A)]} \mid A \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{+}(n)\}$ and multiplication given by

$$u_B u_C = v^{\langle \mathbf{dim}M(B), \mathbf{dim}M(C)\rangle} \sum_{A \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{+}(n)} \varphi_{B,C}^{A}(v^2) u_A$$

It is well known that for $A, B \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{+}(n)$, there holds

$$\langle \mathbf{dim}M(A), \mathbf{dim}M(B)\rangle = \dim_k \mathsf{Hom}(M(A), M(B)) - \dim_k \mathsf{Ext}^1(M(A), M(B)).$$

The $\mathcal{Z}$-subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}_{\triangle}(n)$ of $\mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n)$ generated by $u_i^{(m)} = \dfrac{u_i^m}{[m]!}, i \in I$ and $m \geqslant 1$ called the (twisted) *composition subalgebra*. Then $\mathfrak{C}_{\triangle}(n)$ is also generated by $u_{[mS_i]}, i \in I, m \geqslant 1$ since $u_i^{(m)} = v^{m(m-1)} u_{[mS_i]}$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n)$and $\mathfrak{C}_{\triangle}(n)$ admit natural $\mathbb{N}^n$-grading by dimension vectors:

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n)_{\mathbf{d}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{C}_{\triangle}(n) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathfrak{C}_{\triangle}(n)_{\mathbf{d}},$$

where $\mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n)_{\mathbf{d}}$ is spanned by all u_A with $\mathbf{dim}M(A) = \mathbf{d}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\triangle}(n)_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\triangle}(n) \cap \mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n)_{\mathbf{d}}$.

Base change gives the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-algebra $\mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}(n) = \mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and $\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}_{\triangle}(n) = \mathfrak{C}_{\triangle}(n) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(v)$.

Denote by $\mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}^{-}(n)$ the opposite algebra of $\mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}^{+}(n)(= \mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}(n))$. By extending $\mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}(n)$ to Hopf algebras

$$\mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}(n)^{\geqslant 0} = \mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}^{+}(n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(v)[K_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, K_n^{\pm 1}] \text{ and } \mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}(n)^{\leqslant 0} = \mathbb{Q}(v)[K_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, K_n^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}^{-}(n),$$

we define the double Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathfrak{D}_{\triangle}(n)$(cf. [40] & [7]) to be a quotient algebra of the free product $\mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}(n)^{\geqslant 0} * \mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}(n)^{\leqslant 0}$ via a certain skew Hopf paring $\psi : \mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}(n)^{\geqslant 0} \times \mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}(n)^{\leqslant 0} \to \mathbb{Q}(v)$. In particular, there is a triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\triangle}(n) = \mathfrak{D}_{\triangle}^{+}(n) \otimes \mathfrak{D}_{\triangle}^{0}(n) \otimes \mathfrak{D}_{\triangle}^{-}(n),$$

where $\mathfrak{D}_{\triangle}^{+}(n) = \mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}^{+}(n), \mathfrak{D}_{\triangle}^{0}(n) = \mathbb{Q}[K_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, K_n^{\pm 1}]$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{\triangle}^{-}(n) = \mathbf{\mathfrak{H}}_{\triangle}^{-}(n)$.

Theorem 2.1 ([7, Thm 2.5.3])**.** *Let $\mathbf{U}_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the quantum enveloping algebra of the loop algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ defined in* [10] *or* [7, §2.5]*. Then there is a Hopf algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{D}_{\triangle}(n) \cong \mathbf{U}_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.*

[1]Using multiplications formulas, Du and the author have reproved the existence of Hall polynomials and a recursive formula was given via the degenerated order, for details, see [17].

3. Generic extension and distinguished words

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of all isoclasses of representation in $\mathsf{Rep}^0\,\Delta$. Given two objects $M, N \in \mathsf{Rep}^0\,\Delta$, there exists a unique(up to isomorphism) extension G of M by N with minimal $\dim \mathsf{End}(G)$[4, 32, 5, 8]. The extension G is called the *generic extension*[2] of M by N and is denoted by $G = M * N$. If we define $[M] * [N] = [M * N]$, then it is known form [32] that $*$ is associative and $(\mathcal{M}, *)$ is a monoid with identity [0].

Besides the monoid structure, $\mathcal{M}$ has also a poset structure. For two nilpotent representations $M, N \in \mathsf{Rep}^0\,\Delta$ with $\mathbf{dim}M = \mathbf{dim}N$, define

$$N \leqslant_{\mathsf{dg}} M \iff \dim \mathsf{Hom}(X, N) \geqslant \dim \mathsf{Hom}(X, M), \text{ for all } X \in \mathsf{Rep}^0\,\Delta.$$

see [41]. This gives rise to a partial order on the set of isoclasses of representations in $\mathsf{Rep}^0\,\Delta$, called the *degeneration order*. Thus, it also induces a partial order on $\Theta^+_\triangle(n)$ by setting

$$A \leqslant_{\mathsf{dg}} B \iff M(A) \leqslant_{\mathsf{dg}} M(B).$$

Following [3] we define the order relation $\preccurlyeq$ on $M_{\triangle,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ as follows. For $A \in M_{\triangle,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\sigma_{i,j}(A) = \begin{cases} \sum\limits_{s \leqslant i, t \geqslant j} a_{s,t}, & \text{if } i < j, \\ \sum\limits_{s \geqslant i, t \leqslant j} a_{s,t}, & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

For $A, B \in M_{\triangle,n(\mathbb{Z})}$, define

$$B \preccurlyeq A \text{ if and only if } \sigma_{i,j}(B) \leqslant \sigma_{i,j}(A) \text{ for all } i \neq j.$$

Set $B \prec A$ if $B \preccurlyeq A$, and for some (i, j) with $i \neq j, \sigma_{i,j}(B) < \sigma_{i,j}(A)$.

It is shown in [14, Thm 6.2] that, if $A, B \in \Theta^+_\triangle(n)$, then

$$B \leqslant_{\mathsf{dg}} A \iff B \preccurlyeq A,\ \mathbf{dim}M(A) = \mathbf{dim}M(B). \tag{3.0.1}$$

An element $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$ is called *sincere* if $\lambda_i > 0$ for all $i \in I$. Let $\widetilde{I} = I \cup \{\text{all sincere vectors in } \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle\}$, and $\widetilde{\Sigma}$(resp. Σ) be the set of words on the alphabet $\widetilde{I}$(resp. I). For each $w = \boldsymbol{a}_1\boldsymbol{a}_2\cdots\boldsymbol{a}_m \in \widetilde{\Sigma}$, we set $M(w) = S_{\boldsymbol{a}_1} * S_{\boldsymbol{a}_2} * \cdots * S_{\boldsymbol{a}_m}$. Then there is a unique $A \in \Theta^+_\triangle(n)$ such that $M(w) \cong M(A)$, and we set $\wp(w) = A$, which induces a surjective map $\wp : \widetilde{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \Theta^+_\triangle(n), w \mapsto \wp(w)$. Note that $\wp$ induces a surjective map $\wp : \Sigma \longrightarrow \Theta^{ap}_\triangle(n)$.

For $\boldsymbol{a} \in \widetilde{I}$, set $u_{\boldsymbol{a}} = u_{[S_{\boldsymbol{a}}]}$. Let $w = \boldsymbol{a}_1\boldsymbol{a}_2\cdots\boldsymbol{a}_m \in \widetilde{\Sigma}$ and $\varphi^A_w(v^2)$ be the Hall polynomial $\varphi^A_{B_1,B_2,\cdots,B_m}(v^2)$ with $M(B_i) \cong S_{\boldsymbol{a}_i}$. Any word $w = \boldsymbol{a}_1\boldsymbol{a}_2\cdots\boldsymbol{a}_m \in \widetilde{\Sigma}$ can be uniquely expressed in the *tight form* $w = \boldsymbol{b}_1^{e_1}\boldsymbol{b}_2^{e_2}\cdots\boldsymbol{b}_t^{e_t}$ where $e_i = 1$ if $\boldsymbol{b}_i$ is sincere, and e_i is the number of consecutive occurrence of $\boldsymbol{b}_i$ if $\boldsymbol{b}_i \in I$. A filtration

$$M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq M_{t-1} \supseteq M_t = 0$$

of nilpotent representation M is called a *reduced* filtration of type w if $M_{r-1}/M_r \cong e_r S_{\boldsymbol{b}_r}$ for all $1 \leqslant r \leqslant t$. Denote by $\gamma^A_w(v^2)$ the Hall polynomial $\varphi^A_{B_1,B_2,\cdots,B_t}(v^2)$, where $M(B_r) = e_r S_{\boldsymbol{b}_r}$. Thus, for any finite field k with q_k elements, $\gamma^A_w(q_k)$ is the number of the reduced filtrations of $M(A)$ of type w. A word w is called *distinguished* if the Hall polynomial $\gamma^{\wp(w)}_w(v^2) = 1$.

Sometimes, writing $\gamma^A_B = \gamma^A_{w_B}$ means we have fixed a distinguished word $w_B \in \wp^{-1}(B)$ ahead of time.

[2]When the field k is algebraically closed, see [32] for a geometrical description.

For $A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)$, denote by $\ell(A) = \ell(M(A))$ the *Loewy length* of $M(A)$ and

$$p(A) = \max\{l \mid a_{i,i+l} \neq 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, l \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

If no such $p(A)$ exists, set $p(A) = 0$, in this case, A is aperiodic. A is called *strongly periodic* if $p(A) = \ell(A)$.

Theorem 3.1 ([9]). (1) *For any $A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)$, there exists uniquely a pair (A_1, A_2) associated with A such that A_1 is aperiodic, A_2 is strongly periodic and $M(A) \cong M(A_1) * M(A_2)$.*
(2) *For aperiodic part A_1, there exists a distinguished word $w_{A_1} = j_1^{e_1} j_2^{e_2} \cdots j_t^{e_t} \in \Sigma \cap \wp^{-1}(A_1)$.*
(3) *For strongly periodic part A_2, there exists a distinguished word $w_{A_2} = \boldsymbol{a}_1 \boldsymbol{a}_2 \cdots \boldsymbol{a}_p \in \widetilde{\Sigma} \cap \wp^{-1}(A_2)$, moreover, $S_{\boldsymbol{a}_s} \cong \mathsf{soc}^{p-s+1} M(A_2)/\mathsf{soc}^{p-s} M(A_2), 1 \leqslant s \leqslant p = p(A)$.*
(4) *$w_{A_1} w_{A_2} = j_1^{e_1} j_2^{e_2} \cdots j_t^{e_t} \boldsymbol{a}_1 \boldsymbol{a}_2 \cdots \boldsymbol{a}_p$ is a distinguished word of A.*

Remark 3.2. A matrix algorithm was showed in [17] for taking distinguished words.

4. A recursive formula for PBW basis E_A

Let $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ be the quantum affine $\mathfrak{sl}_n (n \geqslant 2)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$, and let $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm} (i \in I)$ be the generators, for details see [29, 22]. Then $\mathbf{U}$ admits a triangular decomposition $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^-\mathbf{U}^0\mathbf{U}^+$, where $\mathbf{U}^+$(resp. $\mathbf{U}^-, \mathbf{U}^0$) is the subalgebra generated by the E_i(resp. F_i, $K_i^{\pm}$ $(i \in I)$). Denote by $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^+$ the Lusztig integral form of $\mathbf{U}^+$, which is generated by all the divided powers $E_i^{(m)} = \frac{E_i^m}{[m]!}$. The relation of Ringel-Hall algebras and quantum affine $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ is described in the following.

Theorem 4.1 ([35]). *There is a $\mathcal{Z}$-algebra isomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{C}_\triangle(n) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\mathcal{Z}}^+,\ u_i^{(m)} \mapsto E_i^{(m)},\ i \in I,\ m \geqslant 1,$$

and by base change to $\mathbb{Q}(v)$, there is an algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{C}_\triangle(n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{U}^+$.

For $A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)$, let $\delta(A) = \dim \mathsf{End}(M(A)) - \dim M(A)$ and

$$\widetilde{u}_A = v^{\delta(A)} u_A = v^{\dim \mathsf{End}(M(A)) - \dim M(A)} u_A.$$

For each $w = \boldsymbol{a}_1 \boldsymbol{a}_2 \cdots \boldsymbol{a}_m \in \widetilde{\Sigma}$ with tight form $w = \boldsymbol{b}_1^{e_1} \boldsymbol{b}_2^{e_2} \cdots \boldsymbol{b}_t^{e_t}$, define the monomial associated with w as

$$m^{(w)} = \widetilde{u}_{e_1 \boldsymbol{b}_1} \cdots \widetilde{u}_{e_t \boldsymbol{b}_t}.$$

For each $A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)$, pick $w_A \in \wp^{-1}(A) \cap \widetilde{\Sigma}$, $\mathbb{D} = \{w_A \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)\}$ is called a *section* of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ over $\Theta_\triangle^+(n)$. A section is called a *distinguished section* of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ over $\Theta_\triangle^+(n)$ if all w_A are chosen to be distinguished word. When restricted to $\Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)$, we obtain a distinguished section of Σ over $\Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)$.

Theorem 4.2 ([9]). (1) *For $A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)$, take $w_A \in \wp^{-1}(A)$, we have a triangular relation*

$$m^{(A)} = m^{(w_A)} = \widetilde{u}_A + \sum_{\substack{T \prec A, T \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n) \\ \mathbf{dim} M(A) = \mathbf{dim} M(T)}} v^{\delta(A) - \delta(T)} \gamma_A^T(v^2) \widetilde{u}_T, \tag{4.2.1}$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)$ is generated by $\{u_\lambda = u_{[S_\lambda]} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n\}$, where $S_\lambda = \oplus_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S_i$ is the semisimple representation of $\Delta(n)$.
(2) *For a given distinguished section $\mathbb{D} = \{w_A \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)\}$ of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ over $\Theta_\triangle^+(n)$, $\{m^{(A)} \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)\}$ is a $\mathcal{Z}$-basis of $\mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)$ and $\{m^{(A)} \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)\}$ is a $\mathcal{Z}$-basis of $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^+$.*

In order to obtain the canonical basis of $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^+$, Deng, Du and Xiao gave a construction of PBW basis E_A.

Definition 4.3. *For the given distinguished section $\mathbb{D} = \{w_A \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)\}$, any $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n$ and $A \in \Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}} = \{A \in \Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n) \mid \mathbf{dim}M(A) = \mathbf{d}\}$, if A is minimal under $\preccurlyeq$, put $E_A = m^{(A)}$. Otherwise, put*

$$E_A = m^{(A)} - \sum_{B \in \Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}}, B \prec A} v^{\delta(A)-\delta(B)} \gamma_A^B(v^2) E_B.$$

Or equivalently, we have

$$m^{(A)} = E_A + \sum_{B \in \Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}}, B \prec A} v^{\delta(A)-\delta(B)} \gamma_A^B(v^2) E_B.$$

The interesting property of E_A is the following

Theorem 4.4 ([9]). (1) *Let $\mathbb{D} = \{w_A \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)\}$ be a given distinguished section. For each $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n$ and $A \in \Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}}$, we have*

$$E_A = \widetilde{u}_A + \sum_{C \in \Theta_\triangle^{p}(n)_{\mathbf{d}}, C \prec A} \eta_A^C \widetilde{u}_C, \quad \text{where } \eta_A^C \in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]. \tag{4.4.1}$$

(2) *The PBW basis $\{E_A \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)\}$ is independently of the selection of distinguished section.*

First of all, we give another construction of E_A and show the uniqueness. For $A \in \Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}},\ \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n$, define

$$\mathscr{E}_A = m^{(A)} - \sum_{\substack{B \prec A \\ B \in \Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)}} f_{B,A} m^{(B)}$$

for suitable $f_{B,A} \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\mathscr{E}_A = \widetilde{u}_A + \sum_{\substack{D \prec A \\ D \in \Theta_\triangle^{p}(n)}} g_{D,A} \widetilde{u}_D$ with $g_{D,A} \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Lemma 4.5 ([9, Lem 7.1]). *Let $\mathbf{P}$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)$ spanned by all u_A with $A \in \Theta_\triangle^{p}(n)$. Then as a vector space $\mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n) = \mathbf{P} \oplus \mathbf{U}^+$.*

Now the uniqueness of PBW basis E_A is given as follows.

Lemma 4.6. *$\mathscr{E}_A = E_A$. Thus, the construction of E_A is unique respect to $m^{(A)}$ and $\preccurlyeq$.*

Proof. $\mathscr{E}_A - E_A = \sum_{\substack{B' \prec A \\ B' \in \Theta_\triangle^{p}(n)}} f_{B',A} \widetilde{u}_{B'} \in \mathbf{U}^+ \cap \mathbf{P} = 0$ by Lemma 4.5. ∎

Theorem 4.7. *For $A \in \Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}},\ \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n$, fix a set*

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{d}}^{\prec A} = \{B \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)_{\mathbf{d}} \mid B \prec A\} = \{\underbrace{B_1, \cdots, B_k}_{\in \Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)}, \underbrace{C_1, \cdots, C_t}_{\in \Theta_\triangle^{p}(n)}\},$$

then the coefficient η_A^C of E_A in (4.4.1) has a recursive formula as

$$\eta_A^{C_i} = v^{\delta(A)-\delta(C_i)} \gamma_A^{C_i}(v^2) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} v^{\delta(A)-\delta(B_j)} \gamma_A^{B_j}(v^2) \eta_{B_j}^{C_i}.$$

Here we understand $\eta_{B_j}^{C_i} = 0$ if C_i, B_j are not comparable. Thus, if $\eta_{B_j}^{C_i} \neq 0$, then $C_i \prec B_j$.

Proof. By the triangular decomposition in (4.2.1), we have

$$m^{(A)} = \widetilde{u}_A + \sum_{i=1}^{k} v^{\delta(A)-\delta(B_i)} \gamma_A^{B_i}(v^2) \widetilde{u}_{B_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{t} v^{\delta(A)-\delta(C_j)} \gamma_A^{C_j}(v^2) \widetilde{u}_{C_j}.$$

On the other hand, express $m^{(A)}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
m^{(A)} &= E_A + \sum_{B_i \prec A} f_{B_i,A} E_{B_i} \quad (\text{Here } f_{B_i,A} = v^{\delta(A)-\delta(B_i)} \gamma_A^{B_i}(v^2)) \\
&= (\widetilde{u}_A, \widetilde{u}_{C_1}, \cdots, \widetilde{u}_{C_t}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \eta_A^{C_1} \\ \eta_A^{C_2} \\ \vdots \\ \eta_A^{C_t} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{B_i \prec A} f_{B_i,A} (\widetilde{u}_{B_i}, \widetilde{u}_{C_1}, \cdots, \widetilde{u}_{C_t}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \eta_{B_i}^{C_1} \\ \eta_{B_i}^{C_2} \\ \vdots \\ \eta_{B_i}^{C_t} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \widetilde{u}_A + f_{B_1,A}\widetilde{u}_{B_1} + f_{B_2,A}\widetilde{u}_{B_2} + \cdots + f_{B_k,A}\widetilde{u}_{B_k} \\
&\quad + (\eta_A^{C_1} + f_{B_1,A}\eta_{B_1}^{C_1} + \cdots + f_{B_k,A}\eta_{B_k}^{C_1})\widetilde{u}_{C_1} \\
&\quad + (\eta_A^{C_2} + f_{B_2,A}\eta_{B_1}^{C_2} + \cdots + f_{B_k,A}\eta_{B_k}^{C_2})\widetilde{u}_{C_2} \\
&\quad + \quad \cdots\cdots\cdots \\
&\quad + (\eta_A^{C_t} + f_{B_1,A}\eta_{B_1}^{C_t} + \cdots + f_{B_k,A}\eta_{B_k}^{C_t})\widetilde{u}_{C_t},
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients on both expression, the result follows. ■

Take the same example as in [9].

Example 4.8. Let $n = 3$ and $\mathbf{d} = (1, 2, 3)$. Then $\Theta_\triangle^+(3)_\mathbf{d}$ consists of 18 elements, *i.e.* there are 18 isoclasses of nilpotent representations of $\Delta(3)$ of dimension vector $\mathbf{d}$. The Hasse diagram of $(\Theta_\triangle^+(3)_\mathbf{d}, \preccurlyeq)$ has the form



where the cores of those matrices are given by

$$A_1=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&\mathbf{0}&0&0&0&0&1\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&1&0&0&0\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ A_2=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&\mathbf{0}&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&1&0&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ A_3=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&\mathbf{0}&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&2&0&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ A_4=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&\mathbf{0}&0&1&0&0&0\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&0&0&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right),$$
$$A_5=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&0&0&0&0\\0&\mathbf{0}&1&0&0&0\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&2&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ A_6=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&0&1\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&2\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ A_7=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&0&0&0&0\\0&\mathbf{0}&1&0&1&0\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&2&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ A_8=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&1&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right),$$
$$A_9=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&0&1\\0&\mathbf{0}&0&1\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&1\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ A_{10}=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&0&0&0\\0&\mathbf{0}&0&1&1\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&1&0\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ A_{11}=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&0&0&0\\0&\mathbf{0}&1&1&0\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&1&1\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ A_{12}=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&1&0\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&1\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&2\end{smallmatrix}\right),$$
$$A_{13}=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&1&0&0\\0&\mathbf{0}&0&2\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&1\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ A_{14}=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&0&0&0\\0&\mathbf{0}&0&2&0\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ A_{15}=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&1&0&0\\0&\mathbf{0}&1&1\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&2\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ A_{16}=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&1&0\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&1\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&3\end{smallmatrix}\right),$$
$$A_{17}=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&0&0&0&0\\0&\mathbf{0}&2&0&0\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&2&1\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ A_{18}=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{0}&1&0&0\\0&\mathbf{0}&2&0\\0&0&\mathbf{0}&3\end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

Easy to see, all are aperiodic except A_{15}, A_{18}.

For $\Pi_{\mathbf{d}}^{\preccurlyeq A_6}=\{A_6, A_{12}, A_{16}, A_{15}, A_{18}\}$, using the matrix algorithm in [17], we take the following distinguished words $123^32\in\wp^{-1}(A_6), 213^32\in\wp^{-1}(A_{12}), 13^32^2\in\wp^{-1}(A_{16})$.

By easy calculation, $\delta(A_6)=2, \delta(A_{12})=3, \delta(A_{16})=6, \delta(A_{15})=4, \delta(A_{18})=8$ and $\gamma_{A_{16}}^{A_{18}}(v^2)=\gamma_{A_{12}}^{A_{16}}(v^2)=\gamma_{A_{12}}^{A_{15}}(v^2)=\gamma_{A_6}^{A_{15}}(v^2)=\gamma_{A_6}^{A_{12}}(v^2)=1$, $\gamma_{A_{12}}^{A_{18}}(v^2)=\gamma_{A_6}^{A_{18}}(v^2)=\gamma_{A_6}^{A_{16}}(v^2)=1+v^2$.

Since A_{16} is minimal, $\eta_{A_{16}}^{A_{18}}=v^{\delta(A_{16})-\delta(A_{18})}\gamma_{A_{16}}^{A_{18}}(v^2)=v^{-2}$.

A_{12} is minimal in $\Pi_{\mathbf{d}}^{\preccurlyeq A_6}\setminus\{A_{16}\}$, by Theorem 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{A_{12}}^{A_{18}}&=v^{\delta(A_{12})-\delta(A_{18})}\gamma_{A_{12}}^{A_{18}}(v^2)-v^{\delta(A_{12})-\delta(A_{16})}\gamma_{A_{12}}^{A_{16}}(v^2)\eta_{A_{16}}^{A_{18}}\\&=v^{3-8}(1+v^2)-v^{3-6}\cdot 1\cdot v^{-2}=v^{-3},\\\eta_{A_{12}}^{A_{15}}&=v^{\delta(A_{12})-\delta(A_{15})}\gamma_{A_{12}}^{A_{15}}(v^2)-v^{\delta(A_{16})-\delta(A_{15})}\gamma_{A_{16}}^{A_{15}}(v^2)\eta_{A_{16}}^{A_{15}}\ (A_{15}, A_{16}\text{ are not comparable})\\&=v^{-1}-0=v^{-1},\\\eta_{A_6}^{A_{18}}&=v^{\delta(A_6)-\delta(A_{18})}\gamma_{A_6}^{A_{18}}(v^2)-v^{\delta(A_6)-\delta(A_{12})}\gamma_{A_6}^{A_{12}}(v^2)\eta_{A_{12}}^{A_{18}}-v^{\delta(A_6)-\delta(A_{16})}\gamma_{A_6}^{A_{16}}(v^2)\eta_{A_{16}}^{A_{18}}\\&=v^{2-8}(1+v^2)-v^{2-3}\cdot 1\cdot v^{-3}-v^{2-6}(1+v^2)v^{-2}=-v^{-4},\\\eta_{A_6}^{A_{15}}&=v^{\delta(A_6)-\delta(A_{15})}\gamma_{A_6}^{A_{15}}(v^2)-v^{\delta(A_6)-\delta(A_{12})}\gamma_{A_6}^{A_{12}}(v^2)\eta_{A_{12}}^{A_{15}}-v^{\delta(A_6)-\delta(A_{16})}\gamma_{A_6}^{A_{16}}(v^2)\eta_{A_{16}}^{A_{15}}\\&=v^{2-4}\cdot 1-v^{2-3}\cdot 1\cdot v^{-1}-0=0.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}E_{A_6}&=\widetilde{u}_{A_6}-v^{-4}\widetilde{u}_{A_{18}},\\E_{A_{12}}&=\widetilde{u}_{A_{12}}+v^{-1}\widetilde{u}_{A_{15}}+v^{-3}\widetilde{u}_{A_{18}},\\E_{A_{16}}&=\widetilde{u}_{A_{16}}+v^{-2}\widetilde{u}_{A_{18}}.\end{aligned}$$

5. Another construction of canonical bases for quantum affine $\mathfrak{sl}_n$

Keep the setting as Section 4. With the PBW basis $\{E_A \mid A\in\Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)\}$, the canonical basis of $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^+$ is constructed by elementary linear algebra method.

The bar involution [37, Prop 7.5] given by $^-:\mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n)\to\mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n), m^{(A)}\mapsto m^{(A)}$ and $v\mapsto v^{-1}$ can be restricted to $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^+$. By restricting to $\Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}},\ \mathbf{d}\in\mathbb{N}_{\triangle}^n$, since

$$m^{(A)}=E_A+\sum_{B\in\Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}}, B\prec A} f_{B,A}E_B,\ \text{where } f_{B,A}=v^{\delta(A)-\delta(B)}\gamma_A^B(v^2),$$

solving E_A as

$$E_A = m^{(A)} + \sum_{B\in\Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}}, B\prec A} g_{B,A} m^{(B)}.$$

Applying bar involution $^-$, we obtain

$$\overline{E_A} = m^{(A)} + \sum_{B\in\Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}}, B\prec A} \overline{g_{B,A}} m^{(B)} = E_A + \sum_{B\in\Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}}, B\prec A} r_{B,A} E_B$$

By [26, 7.10] (or [8, §0.5],[12]), the system

$$p_{B,A} = \sum_{B\preccurlyeq C\preccurlyeq A} r_{B,C}\overline{p_{C,A}} \quad \text{for } B\preccurlyeq A, A, B\in\Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}}$$

has a unique solution satisfying $p_{A,A}=1, p_{B,A}\in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$ for $B\prec A$. Moreover, the elements

$$\mathbf{C}_A = \sum_{B\preccurlyeq A, B\in\Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)} p_{B,A} E_B, \quad A\in\Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}}$$

is a $\mathcal{Z}$-basis of $U_{\mathbf{d}}^+$. Thus,

$$\mathscr{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) = \{\mathbf{C}_A \mid A\in\Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)\}$$

is the canonical basis of $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^+$ with respect to the PBW basis $\{E_A\}_{A\in\Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)}$.

Using the triangular relation in (4.2.1), the canonical basis $\{\mathbf{c}_A \mid A\in\Theta_\triangle^+(n)\}$ (with respect to the defining basis $\widetilde{u}_A$) of $\mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)$ can be constructed by the same way, for detail, see [17].

Theorem 5.1 ([9, Thm 9.2])**.** *$\mathbf{c}_A$ is the same as the canonical basis defined by geometric method by Lusztig in* [28]*. In particular, when restricted to $\Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)$, we have $\{\mathbf{c}_A \mid A\in\Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)\} = \mathscr{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.*

Next, we review the construction [17] of canonical basis of $\mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)$ from $m^{(A)}$.

For $A\in\Theta_\triangle^+(n)$, define

$$\mathcal{I}_{\prec A} = \{B\in\Theta_\triangle^+(n) \mid B\prec A\} = \mathcal{I}^1_{\prec A}\cup\mathcal{I}^2_{\prec A}\cup\cdots\mathcal{I}^t_{\prec A} \text{ for some } t\in\mathbb{N},$$

where $\mathcal{I}^1_{\prec A} = \{\text{maximal elements of } \mathcal{I}_{\prec A}\}$ and $\mathcal{I}^i_{\prec A} = \{\text{maximal elements of } \mathcal{I}_{\prec A}\setminus\cup_{j=1}^{i-1}\mathcal{I}^j_{\prec A}\}$ for $2\leqslant i\leqslant t$.

For a fixed $A\in\Theta_\triangle^+(n)_{\mathbf{d}},\ \mathbf{d}\in\mathbb{N}_\triangle^n$, since

$$m^{(A)} = \widetilde{u}_A + \sum_{B\prec A, B\in\Theta_\triangle^+(n)_{\mathbf{d}}} h_{B,A}\widetilde{u}_B,\ h_{B,A}\in\mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}],$$

choose $B\in\Theta_\triangle^+(n)_{\mathbf{d}}$ such that $h_{B,A}\notin v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$ with $B\in\mathcal{I}^a_{\prec A}$ and a is minimal, then $h_{B',A}\in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$ if $B\prec B'$, or $B'\in\mathcal{I}^i_{\prec A},\ i<a$.

Note the fact that every $h_{B,A}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]$ has a unique decomposition $h_{B,A} = h'_{B,A} + h''_{B,A}$ with $\overline{h'_{B,A}} = h'_{B,A}$ and $h''_{B,A}\in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$.

Lemma 5.2 ([17, Algo 5.5])**.** *For $A\in\Theta_\triangle^+(n)_{\mathbf{d}},\ \mathbf{d}\in\mathbb{N}_\triangle^n$, there exists $h'_{B,A}\in\mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]$ with $\overline{h'_{B,A}} = h'_{B,A}$ such that*

$$m^{(A)} - \sum_{\substack{B\in\mathcal{I}_{\prec A}\\ B\in\Theta_\triangle^+(n)}} h'_{B,A} m^{(B)}$$

is the canonical basis of $\mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)$ via the defining basis $\{\widetilde{u}_A\}_{A\in\Theta_\triangle^+(n)}$.

If A is restricted to $\Theta_\triangle^{ap}(n)$, we have the following similar result for $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^+$ directly from $m^{(A)}$, which is very convenient to deal with canonical basis from $\widetilde{u}_A$ instead of E_A.

Lemma 5.3. *For $A \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}},\ \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_{\triangle}^{n}$, there exists $h'_{B,A} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ with $\overline{h'_{B,A}} = h'_{B,A}$ such that*

$$m^{(A)} - \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ B \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}} h'_{B,A} m^{(B)} = \widetilde{u}_A + \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ B \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_B + \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ C \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)}} \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_C,$$

then this is the canonical basis of $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}$ via the PBW basis $\{E_A\}_{A \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}$, and more precisely, the coefficient of $\widetilde{u}_C$ for those $C \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)$ exactly belongs to $v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$.

Proof. Since

$$m^{(A)} = \widetilde{u}_A + \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ B \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}} h_{B,A}\widetilde{u}_B + \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ C \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)}} \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_C,$$

choose $B \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)_{\mathbf{d}}$ such that $h_{B,A} \notin v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$ with $B \in \mathcal{I}^{a}_{\prec A}$ and a is minimal, then $h_{B',A} \in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$ if $B \prec B'$, or $B' \in \mathcal{I}^{i}_{\prec A},\ i < a$.

Then

$$m^{(A)} - \sum_{B \in \mathcal{I}^{a}_{\prec A}} h'_{B,A} m^{(B)} = \widetilde{u}_A + \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{I}^{i}_{\prec A} \\ i<a}} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_B + \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{I}^{i}_{\prec A} \\ i>a}} g_{B,A}\widetilde{u}_B + \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ C \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)}} \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_C.$$

By a similar argument with $g_{B,A}, B \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)$ and continuing if necessary, we eventually obtain

$$m^{(A)} - \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ B \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}} h'_{B,A} m^{(B)} = \widetilde{u}_A + \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ B \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_B + \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ C \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)}} \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_C.$$

Using the relation $E_A = \widetilde{u}_A + \sum_{\substack{C_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ C_1 \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)}} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_{C_1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& m^{(A)} - \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ B \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}} h'_{B,A} m^{(B)} \\
=& (E_A - \sum_{\substack{C_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ C_1 \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)}} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_{C_1}) + \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ B \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}](E_B - \sum_{\substack{C_2 \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec B} \\ C_2 \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)}} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_{C_2}) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ C \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)}} \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_C \\
=& E_A + \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ B \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]E_B \\
& + \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ C \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)}} \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_C - \sum_{\substack{C_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ C_1 \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)}} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_{C_1} - \sum_{\substack{C_2 \in \mathcal{I}_{\prec A} \\ C_2 \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)}} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_{C_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{C\in\mathcal{I}_{\prec A}\\ C\in\Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)}}\mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_{C}-\sum_{\substack{C_1\in\mathcal{I}_{\prec A}\\ C_1\in\Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)}}v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_{C_1}-\sum_{\substack{C_2\in\mathcal{I}_{\prec A}\\ C_2\in\Theta_{\triangle}^{p}(n)}}v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_{C_2}$$
$$=m^{(A)}-\sum_{\substack{B\in\mathcal{I}_{\prec A}\\ B\in\Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}}h'_{B,A}m^{(B)}-(E_A+\sum_{\substack{B\in\mathcal{I}_{\prec A}\\ B\in\Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}}v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]E_B)\in\mathbf{U}^{+}\cap\mathbf{P}=0.$$

Thus,

$$m^{(A)}-\sum_{\substack{B\in\mathcal{I}_{\prec A}\\ B\in\Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}}h'_{B,A}m^{(B)}=E_A+\sum_{\substack{B\in\mathcal{I}_{\prec A}\\ B\in\Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}}v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]E_B.$$

Note that $\overline{m^{(A)}-\sum_{\substack{B\in\mathcal{I}_{\prec A}\\ B\in\Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}}h'_{B,A}m^{(B)}}=m^{(A)}-\sum_{\substack{B\in\mathcal{I}_{\prec A}\\ B\in\Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}}h'_{B,A}m^{(B)}$, by uniqueness of the canonical basis of $U^{+}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ with respect to the PBW basis $\{E_A\}_{A\in\Theta_{\triangle}^{ap}(n)}$, the result follows. ■

Before ending this section, we give an example.

Example 5.4. Keep the setting as in example 4.8, consider

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{d}}^{\preccurlyeq A_9}=\{A_9,A_{13},A_6,A_{12},A_{16},A_{15},A_{18}\}.$$

The Hasse diagram of $(\Pi_{\mathbf{d}}^{\preccurlyeq A_9},\preccurlyeq)$ has the form



Using the matrix algorithm in [17], we take the following distinguished words $12^23^3\in\wp^{-1}(A_9),2^213^3\in\wp^{-1}(A_{13}),123^32\in\wp^{-1}(A_6),213^32\in\wp^{-1}(A_{12}),13^32^2\in\wp^{-1}(A_{16})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
m^{(A_9)}&=\widetilde{u}_{A_9}+v^{-2}\widetilde{u}_{A_{13}}+v^{-2}\widetilde{u}_{A_6}+v^{-3}\widetilde{u}_{A_{12}}+v^{-6}\widetilde{u}_{A_{16}}+v^{-4}\widetilde{u}_{A_{15}}+v^{-8}\widetilde{u}_{A_{18}},\\
m^{(A_{13})}&=\widetilde{u}_{A_{13}}+v^{-2}\widetilde{u}_{A_{15}}+v^{-6}\widetilde{u}_{A_{18}},\\
m^{(A_6)}&=\widetilde{u}_{A_6}+v^{-1}\widetilde{u}_{A_{12}}+v^{-2}\widetilde{u}_{A_{15}}+(v^{-2}+v^{-4})\widetilde{u}_{A_{16}}+(v^{-4}+v^{-6})\widetilde{u}_{A_{18}},\\
m^{(A_{12})}&=\widetilde{u}_{A_{12}}+v^{-3}\widetilde{u}_{A_{16}}+v^{-1}\widetilde{u}_{A_{15}}+(v^{-3}+v^{-5})\widetilde{u}_{A_{18}},\\
m^{(A_{16})}&=\widetilde{u}_{A_{16}}+v^{-2}\widetilde{u}_{A_{18}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.3, they are just the canonical bases associated with $A_9,A_{13},A_6,A_{12},A_{16}$, respectively. Here we omit the calculation of those PBW basis E_A.

6. Application to $\mathbf{U}_v^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$

The slices of canonical bases of $\mathbf{U}_v^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_2)$ is defined in [17] to ease the difficult of computing the canonical bases, where the authors have determined all the canonical bases of $\mathbf{U}_v^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_2)$ associated to A with $\ell(A) \leqslant 2$. When restricted to those $A \in \Theta_\triangle^{ap}(2)$, the canonical bases of $\mathbf{U}_v^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ with $\ell(A) \leqslant 2$ is obtain by Theorem 2.1 & 5.1. In this section, we will determine all the canonical bases of $\mathbf{U}_v^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ associated to $A \in \Theta_\triangle^{ap}(2)$ with $\ell(A) = 3$.

The slices of the canonical(resp. monomial) basis is defined according to the Loewy length. For $l \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, let

$$\mathscr{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)_l = \{\mathbf{C}_A \mid \ell(A) = l\} \quad (\text{resp. } \mathscr{M}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)_l = \{m^{(A)} \mid \ell(A) = l\}),$$

which is called a *canonical (resp., monomial) slice*. Note that this is specialization of $\mathscr{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)_{(l,p)}$ and $\mathscr{M}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)_{(l,p)}$ with $p = 0$ in [17]. Clearly, each of the bases is a disjoint union of slices.

For our purpose, we first modify the multiplication formula given in the middle of [15, p. 42].

Theorem 6.1 ([15])**.** *For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n, A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)$, the twisted multiplication formula in the Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)$ over $\mathcal{Z}$ is given by:*

$$\widetilde{u}_\alpha \widetilde{u}_A = \sum_{\substack{T \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n) \\ \mathsf{row}(T) = \alpha}} v^{f'_{A,T}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}, j > i}} \begin{bmatrix} a_{ij} + t_{ij} - t_{i-1,j} \\ t_{ij} \end{bmatrix} \widetilde{u}_{A+T-\widehat{T}^+},$$

where

$$f'_{A,T} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j > l \geqslant i+1}} a_{i,j} t_{i,l} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j > l \geqslant i+1}} a_{i+1,j} t_{i,l} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j > l \geqslant i+1}} t_{i-1,j} t_{i,l} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j > l \geqslant i+1}} t_{i,j} t_{i,l},$$

and $\widehat{\ }\colon \Theta_\triangle(n) \to \Theta_\triangle(n), X = (x_{i,j}) \mapsto \widehat{X} = (\widehat{x}_{i,j})$ is the row-descending map defined by $\widehat{x}_{i,j} = x_{i-1,j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\widehat{T}^+$ denotes the upper triangular submatrix of $\widehat{T}$.

Proof. By the formula on [15, p. 42], we have

$$\widetilde{u}_\alpha \widetilde{u}_A = \sum_{\substack{T \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n) \\ \mathsf{row}(T) = \alpha}} v^{f_{A,T}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}, j > i}} \overline{\left[\!\!\begin{bmatrix} a_{ij} + t_{ij} - t_{i-1,j} \\ t_{ij} \end{bmatrix}\!\!\right]} \widetilde{u}_{A+T-\widehat{T}^+},$$

where

$$f_{A,T} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j \geqslant l \geqslant i+1}} a_{i,j} t_{i,l} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j > l \geqslant i+1}} a_{i+1,j} t_{i,l} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j \geqslant l \geqslant i+1}} t_{i-1,j} t_{i,l} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j > l \geqslant i+1}} t_{i,j} t_{i,l}.$$

Using the identity

$$\begin{bmatrix} N \\ t \end{bmatrix} = v^{-t(N-t)} \left[\!\!\begin{bmatrix} N \\ t \end{bmatrix}\!\!\right] = v^{t(N-t)} \overline{\left[\!\!\begin{bmatrix} N \\ t \end{bmatrix}\!\!\right]},$$

we see

$$\begin{aligned} f'_{A,T} &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j \geqslant l \geqslant i+1}} a_{i,j} t_{i,l} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j > l \geqslant i+1}} a_{i+1,j} t_{i,l} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j \geqslant l \geqslant i+1}} t_{i-1,j} t_{i,l} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j > l \geqslant i+1}} t_{i,j} t_{i,l} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j > i}} t_{ij}(a_{ij} - t_{i-1,j}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j > l \geqslant i+1}} a_{i,j} t_{i,l} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j > l \geqslant i+1}} a_{i+1,j} t_{i,l} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j > l \geqslant i+1}} t_{i-1,j} t_{i,l} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ j > l \geqslant i+1}} t_{i,j} t_{i,l}. \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

Next, we review the structure of $m^{(A)}$ with $A \in \Theta^{ap}_{\triangle}(2)$.

A sequence $(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_l) \in \mathbb{N}^l$ is called a *pyramidic* if there exists $k,\ 1 \leqslant k \leqslant l$, such that

$$a_1 \leqslant a_2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant a_k,\ a_k \geqslant a_{k+1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant a_l.$$

In the following, we identify $U^+_{\mathcal{Z}}$ with the composition algebra under the isomorphism $\mathfrak{C}_{\triangle}(n) \xrightarrow{\sim} U^+_{\mathcal{Z}},\ u^{(m)}_i \mapsto E^{(m)}_i$ as given in Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 6.2 ([17]). *We have*

$$\mathscr{M}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2) = \{E^{(a_1)}_i E^{(a_2)}_{i+1} E^{(a_3)}_i E^{(a_4)}_{i+1} \cdots E^{(a_l)}_{i'} \mid i, i+1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2, (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_l) \text{ is pyramidic}, \forall l \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where $i' = i$ if l is odd and $i' = i+1$ if l is even.

Easy to see that

$$\mathscr{M}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)_3 = \{E^{(a)}_i E^{(b)}_j E^{(c)}_i \mid (i,j,i) = (1,2,1) \text{ or } (2,1,2), (a,b,c) \text{ is pyramidic}, a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}\}.$$

More precisely, pyramidic sequence (a,b,c) is equivalent to $a \geqslant b \geqslant c$, or $c \geqslant b \geqslant a$, or $b \geqslant \{a, c\}$.

For $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_t) \in I^t$ and $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_t) \in \mathbb{N}^t$, define the monomial

$$E^{(\boldsymbol{a})}_{\boldsymbol{i}} = E^{(a_1)}_{i_1} \cdots E^{(a_t)}_{i_t}.$$

Recall $\mathscr{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is the set of canonical bases of $U^+_{\mathcal{Z}} = U^+_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. By [30], a monomial $E^{(\boldsymbol{a})}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is called *tight* if it belongs to $\mathscr{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.

The following result will be proved in the appendix via the quadratic form developed by [6].

Lemma 6.3. *For $\boldsymbol{i} = \{(1,2,1), (2,1,2)\}$ and $\boldsymbol{a} = \{(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{N}^3_{>0}\}$, $E^{(\boldsymbol{a})}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is tight monomial if and only if $2b \geqslant a + c$.*

Remark 6.4. If one of a, b, c is zero, the tightness of $E^{(\boldsymbol{a})}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ has been studied in [17, Prop 6.4].

We need the following identity for symmetric Gaussian polynomials.

Lemma 6.5 ([38, Sec 3.1]). *Assume that $m \geqslant k \geqslant 0, \delta \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\delta} (-1)^i v^{i(m-k)} \begin{bmatrix} k-1+i \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ \delta - i \end{bmatrix} = v^{-k\delta} \begin{bmatrix} m-k \\ \delta \end{bmatrix}.$$

The main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 6.6. *Suppose $A \in \Theta^{ap}_{\triangle}(2)$ with $\ell(A) = 3$, then there are 8 different matrices as follows*

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & a & b & c \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & a & b & c \end{pmatrix},\ A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & a & 0 & c \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & b \end{pmatrix},\ A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & a & 0 & c \end{pmatrix},$$
$$A_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & 0 & b & c \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & a & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ A_6 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & b & c \end{pmatrix},\ A_7 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & a & b \end{pmatrix},\ A_8 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & a & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}, c > 0$.

(1) *$m^{(A_5)}, m^{(A_6)}, m^{(A_7)}, m^{(A_8)}$ are tight monomials.*

(2) *For $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $m^{(A_i)}$ is tight monomial if and only if $a \leqslant b$. If $a > b$, for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant c$, set*

$$A_1^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & a+c-k & b+c-k & k \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } m_1^{(k)} = m^{(A_1^{(k)})},$$
$$A_2^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & a+c-k & b+c-k & k \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } m_2^{(k)} = m^{(A_2^{(k)})},$$
$$A_3^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & a+c-k & 0 & k \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & b+c-k \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } m_3^{(k)} = m^{(A_3^{(k)})},$$
$$A_4^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & 0 & b+c-k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & a+c-k & 0 & k \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } m_4^{(k)} = m^{(A_4^{(k)})},$$

(Note that $A_i^{(c)} = A_i$, $m_i^{(c)} = m^{(A_i)}$) then

$$\mathbf{C}_{A_i} = \sum_{k=0}^{c} (-1)^{c-k} \begin{bmatrix} a-b-1+c-k \\ a-b-1 \end{bmatrix} m_i^{(k)}$$

is the canonical basis associated to A_i. In other words,

$$\mathscr{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)_3 = \{\mathbf{C}_{A_1}, \mathbf{C}_{A_2}, \mathbf{C}_{A_3}, \mathbf{C}_{A_4}, m^{(A_5)}, m^{(A_6)}, m^{(A_7)}, m^{(A_8)}\}.$$

Proof. (1) By Lemma 6.2, we know $m^{(A_i)} (i = 5, 6, 7, 8)$ equals to

$$E_1^{(b+c)} E_2^{(a+b+c)} E_1^{(c)}, E_2^{(b+c)} E_1^{(a+b+c)} E_2^{(c)}, E_1^{(c)} E_2^{(a+b+c)} E_1^{(b+c)}, E_2^{(c)} E_1^{(a+b+c)} E_2^{(b+c)},$$

which are tight by Lemma 6.3.

(2) We only deal with A_1, the others can be proved similarly. By Lemma 6.2, $m^{(A_1)}$ is corresponding to $E_1^{(a+b+c)} E_2^{(b+c)} E_1^{(c)}$, which is tight if and only if $a \leqslant b$.

From now on, suppose $a > b$. By Theorem 6.1, for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant c$, we have

$$m_1^{(k)} = \widetilde{u}_{(a+b+2c-k)S_1} \widetilde{u}_{(b+c)S_2} \widetilde{u}_{kS_1} = \sum_{\substack{t_3 \leqslant t_1 \leqslant k \\ t_2 \leqslant b+c-t_1}} v^f \begin{bmatrix} a+b+2c-t_1-t_2-t_3 \\ a+b+2c-k-t_2-t_3 \end{bmatrix} \widetilde{u}_C,$$

where $f = -(k-t_1)(b+c-t_1) + (a+b+2c-k-t_2-t_3)(t_2+t_3-b-c) + t_2(t_3-t_1)$ and

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & a+b+2c-t_1-t_2-t_3 & t_2 & t_3 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & b+c-t_1-t_2 & t_1-t_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
M(c) &= \sum_{k=0}^{c}(-1)^{c-k}\begin{bmatrix} a-b-1+c-k \\ a-b-1 \end{bmatrix} m_1^{(k)} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{c}(-1)^{c-k}\begin{bmatrix} a-b-1+c-k \\ a-b-1 \end{bmatrix}\left(\sum_{\substack{t_3\leqslant t_1\leqslant k \\ t_2\leqslant b+c-t_1}} v^f \begin{bmatrix} a+b+2c-t_1-t_2-t_3 \\ a+b+2c-k-t_2-t_3 \end{bmatrix}\widetilde{u}_C\right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{t_1=0 \\ t_3\leqslant t_1 \\ t_2\leqslant b+c-t_1}}^{c}\ \sum_{k=t_1}^{c}\left((-1)^{c-k}v^f \begin{bmatrix} a-b-1+c-k \\ a-b-1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a+b+2c-t_1-t_2-t_3 \\ a+b+2c-k-t_2-t_3 \end{bmatrix}\right)\widetilde{u}_C \\
&= \widetilde{u}_{A_1} + \sum_{\substack{t_1=0 \\ t_3\leqslant t_1 \\ t_2\leqslant b+c-t_1}}^{c-1}\left(\sum_{k=t_1}^{c}(-1)^{c-k}v^f \begin{bmatrix} a-b-1+c-k \\ a-b-1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a+b+2c-t_1-t_2-t_3 \\ a+b+2c-k-t_2-t_3 \end{bmatrix}\right)\widetilde{u}_C.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.3, we only need to deal with those aperiodic matrices C. There are only four possible cases as follows

$$\begin{cases} a+b+2c=t_1+t_2+t_3 \\ t_2=0 \end{cases}, \begin{cases} a+b+2c=t_1+t_2+t_3 \\ t_1=t_3 \end{cases}, \begin{cases} b+c=t_1+t_2 \\ t_2=0 \end{cases}, \begin{cases} b+c=t_1+t_2 \\ t_1=t_3 \end{cases}.$$

By definition, it is easy to see that the first two cases are impossible, and the third case appears unless $b=0$. Thus, under the fourth condition

$$\begin{cases} b+c=t_1+t_2 \\ t_1=t_3 \end{cases}$$

and using the equivalent condition for degenerate order in (3.0.1), the aperiodic part of Hasse diagram of $A_1=\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & a & b & c \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the following



Note that the red part appears unless $b=0$. If $b=0$ and

$$\begin{cases} b+c=t_1+t_2 \\ t_2=0 \end{cases},$$

then every coefficient of such $\widetilde{u}_C$ is

$$v^{(a+2c-k-t_3)(t_3-c)}\begin{bmatrix} a-1+c-k \\ a-1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a+c-t_3 \\ a+2c-k-t_3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ (for } 0\leqslant k\leqslant c, 0\leqslant t_3\leqslant c-1)$$
$$= v^{-(a+2c-k-t_3)(c-t_3)-(c-k)(2c+1-k-t_3)}\overline{\left[\!\!\begin{bmatrix} a-1+c-k \\ a-1 \end{bmatrix}\!\!\right]\left[\!\!\begin{bmatrix} a+c-t_3 \\ a+2c-k-t_3 \end{bmatrix}\!\!\right]} \in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}],$$

which means we only need to consider the coefficient of $\widetilde{u}_{A_1^{(c-1)}}, \cdots, \widetilde{u}_{A_1^{(0)}}$.

Assume

$$\begin{cases} b+c=t_1+t_2 \\ t_1=t_3 \end{cases},$$

then for $0\leqslant t_1\leqslant c-1$, the coefficient of $\widetilde{u}_{A_1^{(t_1)}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} f(c,t_1) &= \sum_{k=t_1}^{c}(-1)^{c-k}v^{-(k-t_1)(b+c-t_1)}\begin{bmatrix} a-b-1+c-k \\ a-b-1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a+c-t_1 \\ a+c-k \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{k'=0}^{c'}(-1)^{c'-k'}v^{-k'(b+c')}\begin{bmatrix} a-b-1+c'-k' \\ a-b-1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a+c' \\ k' \end{bmatrix} \ (k'=k-t_1, c'=c-t_1) \\ &= v^{-c'(b+c')}\sum_{i=0}^{c'}(-1)^i v^{i(b+c')}\begin{bmatrix} a-b-1+i \\ a-b-1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a+c' \\ c'-i \end{bmatrix} \ (i=c'-k') \end{aligned}$$

Let $k=a-b, m=a+c'$ and $\delta=c'$. Applying Lemma 6.5 gives

$$f(c,t_1) = v^{-c'(b+c')}v^{-c'(a-b)}\begin{bmatrix} b+c' \\ c' \end{bmatrix} = v^{-c'(a-b+c')}\overline{\left[\!\!\begin{bmatrix} b+c' \\ c' \end{bmatrix}\!\!\right]} \in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}],$$

since $a>b$. Hence, $M(c)=\widetilde{u}_{A_1}+\sum_{\substack{C_1\in\mathcal{I}_{\prec A_1}\\ C_1\in\Theta_\triangle^{ap}(2)}} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_{C_1}+\sum_{\substack{C_2\in\mathcal{I}_{\prec A_1}\\ C_2\in\Theta_\triangle^{p}(2)}}\mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_{C_2}$. On the other hand, $\overline{M(c)}=M(c)$. Consequently, by Lemma 5.3, $\mathbf{C}_{A_1}=M(c)$, as desired. ∎

7. Relation to canonical bases of affine quantum Schur algebras

Let $\mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}$ be the group consisting of all permutations $w:\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{Z}$ such that $w(i+r)=w(i)+r$ for $i\in\mathbb{Z}$. Define $s_i\in\mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}$ by $s_i(j)=j$ for $j\not\equiv i, i+1(\mathsf{mod}\, r)$, $s_i(j)=j-1$ for $j\equiv i+1(\mathsf{mod}\, r)$ and $s_i(j)=j+1$ for $j\equiv i(\mathsf{mod}\, r)$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_r=\langle s_i \mid 1\leqslant i\leqslant n\rangle$ be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}$ and ρ be the permutation of $\mathbb{Z}$ sending j to $j+1$ for all $j\in\mathbb{Z}$. Note that each $w\in\mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}$ can be uniquely expressed as $w=\rho^a x$ with $a\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $x\in\mathfrak{S}_r$, and the length function ℓ on $\mathfrak{S}_r$ can be extended to $\mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}$ by setting $\ell(w)=\ell(x)$.

The extended affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_\triangle(r)_\mathcal{Z}$ over $\mathcal{Z}$ associated to $\mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}$ is the unital $\mathcal{Z}$-algebra with basis $\{T_w\}_{w\in\mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}}$, and multiplication defined by

$$\begin{cases} T_{s_i}^2=(v^2-1)T_{s_i}+v^2, & \text{for } 1\leqslant i\leqslant r, \\ T_wT_{w'}=T_{ww'}, & \text{if } \ell(ww')=\ell(w)+\ell(w'). \end{cases}$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_\triangle(r)=\mathcal{H}_\triangle(r)\otimes_\mathcal{Z}\mathbb{Q}(v)$. Denote by $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ the $\mathcal{Z}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_\triangle(r)_\mathcal{Z}$ generated by T_{s_i} for $1\leqslant i\leqslant r$. Let $\{C'_w \mid w\in\mathfrak{S}_r\}$ be the canonical bases of $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ defined in [25]. For each $w\in\mathfrak{S}_r$, we have $C'_w=v^{-\ell(w)}\sum_{y\leqslant w}P_{y,w}T_y$, where $P_{y,w}\in\mathbb{Z}[v^2]$ is the Kazhidan-Lusztig polynomial.

For $\lambda=(\lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\in\mathbb{Z}^n_\vartriangle$, $A\in M_{\vartriangle,n}(\mathbb{Z})$, let

$$\sigma(\lambda)=\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\lambda_i \quad\text{and}\quad \sigma(A)=\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant n\\ j\in\mathbb{Z}}}a_{i,j}=\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant j\leqslant n\\ i\in\mathbb{Z}}}a_{i,j}.$$

For $r\geqslant 0$, we set

$$\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{N}^n_\vartriangle\mid\sigma(\lambda)=r\}\text{ and }\Theta_\vartriangle(n,r)=\{A\in\Theta_\vartriangle(n)\mid\sigma(A)=r\}.$$

For $\lambda\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)$, let $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda=\mathfrak{S}_{(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n)}$ be the corresponding standard Young subgroups of $\mathfrak{S}_r$ and denote by $w_{0,\lambda}$ the longest element in $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$. For each $\lambda\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)$, let $x_\lambda=\sum_{w\in\mathfrak{S}_\lambda}T_w\in\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathcal{Z}$. The endomorphism algebras

$$\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}=\mathsf{End}_{\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathcal{Z}}\Big(\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)}x_\lambda\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathcal{Z}\Big)\text{ and }\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}=\mathsf{End}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathcal{Z}}\Big(\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)}x_\lambda\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_\vartriangle(r)\Big)$$

are called *affine quantum Schur algebras.*(For details, cf. [18, 19, 31]).

For $\lambda\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)$, let $\mathscr{D}^\vartriangle_\lambda=\{d\mid d\in\mathfrak{S}_{\vartriangle,r},\ell(wd)=\ell(w)+\ell(d)\text{ for }w\in\mathfrak{S}_\lambda\}$ and $\mathscr{D}^\vartriangle_{\lambda,\mu}=\mathscr{D}^\vartriangle_\lambda\cap(\mathscr{D}^\vartriangle_\mu)^{-1}$. By [37, 7.4](see also [14, Lem 9.2]), there is a bijective map

$$\jmath_\vartriangle:\{(\lambda,d,\mu)\mid d\in\mathscr{D}^\vartriangle_{\lambda,\mu},\lambda,\mu\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)\}\longrightarrow\Theta_\vartriangle(n,r),(\lambda,d,\mu)\mapsto A=(|R^\lambda_k\cap dR^\mu_l|)_{k,l\in\mathbb{Z}},$$

where

$$R^\nu_{i+kn}=\{\nu_{k,i-1}+1,\nu_{k,i-1}+2,\cdots,\nu_{k,i-1}+\nu_i=\nu_{k,i}\}\text{ with }\nu_{k,i-1}=kr+\sum_{1\leqslant t\leqslant i-1}\nu_t,$$

for all $1\leqslant i\leqslant n,k\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $\nu\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)$.

For $\lambda,\mu\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)$ and $d\in\mathscr{D}^\vartriangle_{\lambda,\mu}$ satisfying $A=\jmath_\vartriangle(\lambda,d,\mu)\in\Theta_\vartriangle(n,r)$, define $e_A\in\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}$ by

$$e_A(x_\nu h)=\delta_{\mu\nu}\sum_{w\in\mathfrak{S}_\lambda d\mathfrak{S}_\mu}T_wh,$$

where $\nu\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)$ and $h\in\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}$, and let

$$[A]=v^{-d_A}e_A,\quad\text{where}\quad d_A=\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant n\\ i\geqslant k,j<l}}a_{i,j}a_{k,l}.$$

For the geometrical description of d_A, see [31]. Note that the sets $\{e_A\mid A\in\Theta_\vartriangle(n,r)\}$ and $\{[A]\mid A\in\Theta_\vartriangle(n,r)\}$ form $\mathcal{Z}$-bases for $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}$.

By definition, for $\lambda\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)$ and $A\in\Theta_\vartriangle(n,r)$, we have

$$[A][\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)]=\begin{cases}[A], & \text{if }\lambda=\mathsf{col}(A);\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\quad[\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)][A]=\begin{cases}[A], & \text{if }\lambda=\mathsf{row}(A);\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\tag{7.0.1}$$

Define the bar involution on $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}$ via the one on the Hecke algebra. For $w=\rho^ax\in\mathfrak{S}_{\vartriangle,r}$ with $a\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $x\in\mathfrak{S}_r$, let $C'_w=T^a_\rho C'_x$. Then $\{C'_w\mid x\in\mathfrak{S}_{\vartriangle,r}\}$ forms a $\mathcal{Z}$-basis for $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathcal{Z}$. Define bar involution on $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathcal{Z}$ by $^-\colon\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathcal{Z}\to\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathcal{Z},v\mapsto v^{-1},T_w\mapsto T^{-1}_{w^{-1}}$. Following [11] (or cf. [37]), the bar involution on $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}$ can be described as follows:

$$^-:\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}\to\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z},f\mapsto\bar f,f\in\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathcal{Z}}(x_\mu\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathcal{Z},x_\lambda\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathcal{Z}),\ h\in\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathcal{Z},\tag{7.0.2}$$

where $\bar f$ sends v to v^{-1} and $C'_{w_{0,\mu}}h$ to $\overline{f(C'_{w_{0,\mu}})}h$.

Let

$$\Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)=\{A\in\Theta_\vartriangle(n)\mid a_{ii}=0\text{ for all }i\}.$$

For $A \in \Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n)$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle}$, define elements in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$

$$A(\mathbf{j},r) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n,r-\sigma(A))} v^{\mu\cdot\mathbf{j}}[A + \mathsf{diag}(\mu)],$$

where $\mu \cdot \mathbf{j} = \sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} \mu_i \mathbf{j}_i$. The set $\{A(\mathbf{j},r)\}_{A\in\Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n),\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle}}$ spans $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$.

Now we establish a triangular decomposition for $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Set the following $\mathcal{Z}$-submodules of $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}^+_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}} &= \mathrm{span}_{\mathcal{Z}}\{A(0,r) \mid A \in \Theta^+_{\vartriangle}(n)\},\\
\mathcal{S}^-_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}} &= \mathrm{span}_{\mathcal{Z}}\{A(0,r) \mid A \in \Theta^-_{\vartriangle}(n)\},\\
\mathcal{S}^0_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}} &= \mathrm{span}_{\mathcal{Z}}\{[\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)] \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n,r)\}
\end{aligned}$$

and $\Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n)_{\leqslant r} = \{A \in \Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n) \mid \sigma(A) \leqslant r\}$.

Theorem 7.1 ([7, Prop 3.7.4 & 3.7.7])**.** *The elements $A(0,r)$(resp. ${}^tA(0,r)$) for $A \in \Theta^+_{\vartriangle}(n)_{\leqslant r}$, form a $\mathcal{Z}$-basis of the subalgebra $\mathcal{S}^+_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$(resp. $\mathcal{S}^-_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$) and $\{[\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)]\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n,r)}$ form a $\mathcal{Z}$-basis of the subalgebra $\mathcal{S}^0_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$. In particular, we obtain a (weak) triangular decomposition:*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}} = \mathcal{S}^+_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{S}^0_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{S}^-_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}.$$

Recall $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{H}}^-_{\vartriangle}(n)$ is the opposite algebra of $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{H}}^+_{\vartriangle}(n)(=\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{H}}_{\vartriangle}(n))$, and sometimes for sake of clarity, write $u^+_A(=u_A) \in \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{H}}^+_{\vartriangle}(n)$ and $u^-_A \in \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{H}}^-_{\vartriangle}(n)$ and for $A \in \Theta^+_{\vartriangle}(n)$,

$$\widetilde{u}^{\pm}_A = v^{\delta(A)}u^{\pm}_A = v^{\dim \mathsf{End}(M(A))-\dim M(A)}u^{\pm}_A.$$

The relationship between $\mathfrak{D}_{\vartriangle}(n)$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$ can be seen from the following (cf. [18, 31, 37]). See also [7, Thm 3.6.3 & 3.81].

Theorem 7.2. *For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $\zeta_r : \mathfrak{D}_{\vartriangle}(n) \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$ is a surjective algebra homomorphism such that for all $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle}$ and $A \in \Theta^+_{\vartriangle}(n)$,*

$$\zeta_r(K^{\mathbf{j}}) = 0(\mathbf{j},r),\ \zeta_r(\widetilde{u}^+_A) = A(\mathbf{0},r) \text{ and } \zeta_r(\widetilde{u}^-_A) = ({}^tA)(\mathbf{0},r).$$

Here ${}^tA$ is the transpose matrix of A. In particular, $\zeta_r(\mathfrak{H}^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is also surjective.

Now we recall the construction of canonical basis for affine quantum Schur algebras.

For $A, B \in \Theta_{\vartriangle}(n)$, define

$$B \sqsubseteq A \text{ if and only if } B \preccurlyeq A, \mathsf{col}(B) = \mathsf{col}(A) \text{ and } \mathsf{row}(B) = \mathsf{row}(A). \tag{7.2.1}$$

Put $B \sqsubset A$ if $B \sqsubseteq A$ and $B \neq A$.

Proposition 7.3 ([31, 13])**.** *There exist canonical bases $\{\Theta_{A,r} \mid A \in \Theta_{\vartriangle}(n,r)\}$ for $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ such that $\overline{\Theta_{A,r}} = \Theta_{A,r}$ and*

$$\Theta_{A,r} = [A] + \sum_{\substack{B\in\Theta_{\vartriangle}(n,r)\\ B\sqsubset A}} g_{B,A,r}[B] \in [A] + \sum_{\substack{B\in\Theta_{\vartriangle}(n,r)\\ B\sqsubset A}} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}][B].$$

Note that Lai and Luo [24] gave a matrix algorithm for those $[A]$, which can be used to construct the canonical basis for $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$.

Recall in Theorem 5.1, we have introduced the canonical basis $\{\mathbf{c}_A\}_{A\in\Theta^+_{\vartriangle}(n)}$ of $\mathfrak{H}^+_{\vartriangle}(n)$.

Lemma 7.4. *For any $r > 0$, set $\mathfrak{c}_A = \zeta_r(\mathbf{c}_A)$ for all $A \in \Theta^+_\triangle(n,r)$. Then $\{\mathfrak{c}_A\}_{A\in\Theta^+_\triangle(n)_{\leqslant r}}$ forms a $\mathcal{Z}$-basis for $\mathcal{S}^+_\triangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}$ which satisfies the following properties:*

$$\overline{\mathfrak{c}_A} = \mathfrak{c}_A \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathfrak{c}_A - A(0,r) \in \sum_{B\prec A} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]B(0,r).$$

In other words, this is the canonical basis relative to the basis $\{A(0,r) \mid A \in \Theta^+_\triangle(n)_{\leqslant r}\}$ and the restrictions of the bar involution (7.0.2) of $\mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}$ and $\preccurlyeq$. Moreover, we have

$$\zeta_r(\mathbf{c}_A) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{c}_A, & \textit{if } A \in \Theta^+_\triangle(n)_{\leqslant r}, \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A similar result holds for $\mathcal{S}^-_\triangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, $\mathbf{c}_A = \widetilde{u}_A + \sum_{B\prec A} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]\widetilde{u}_B$ for any $A \in \Theta^+_\triangle(n)_\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$. Applying ζ_r on both sides, we obtain

$$\overline{\mathfrak{c}_A} = \mathfrak{c}_A \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{c}_A - A(0,r) \in \sum_{B\prec A} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]B(0,r).$$

From the ingredients of canonical basis, it is the required canonical basis. ∎

For $A \in \Theta_\triangle(n)$, define the "hook sums"

$$\mathfrak{h}_i(A) = a_{i,i} + \sum_{i<j}(a_{i,j} + a_{j,i}) \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{h}}(A) = (\mathfrak{h}_1(A), \cdots, \mathfrak{h}_n(A))$$

If we write $A = A^+ + A^0 + A^-$, where $A^\pm \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)$ and A^0 is diagonal, then

$$\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{h}}(A) = (a_{1,1}, \cdots, a_{n,n}) + \mathsf{col}(A^-) + \mathsf{row}(A^+).$$

Recall $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{h}}(A) \leqslant \lambda \Longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_i \leqslant \lambda_i, \forall i$.
For $A \in \Theta^+_\triangle(n)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda(n,r)$ with $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{h}}(A) = \mathsf{row}(A) \leqslant \lambda$, let

$$A_\lambda = A + \mathsf{diag}(\lambda - \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{h}}(A)).$$

By (7.0.1), it is clear that

$$A(0,r)[\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)] = \sum_{\mu\in\Lambda_\triangle(n,r-\sigma(A))} [A + \mathsf{diag}(\mu)][\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)] = [A + \mathsf{diag}(\lambda - \mathsf{col}(A))]$$

and

$$[\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)]A(0,r) = \sum_{\mu\in\Lambda_\triangle(n,r-\sigma(A))} [\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)][A + \mathsf{diag}(\mu)] = [A_\lambda].$$

We have the following result similar to [16, Thm 8.3].

Theorem 7.5. *For $A \in \Theta^+_\triangle(n)$, if $\sigma(A) \leqslant r$, then $\mathfrak{c}_A = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{h}}(A)\leqslant\lambda} \Theta_{A_\lambda,r}$. In other word, the image $\mathfrak{c}_A$ of the canonical basis elements $\mathbf{c}_A \in \mathfrak{H}^+_\triangle(n)$ under ζ_r is either zero or a sum of the canonical basis elements $\Theta_{A_\lambda,r} = [\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)]\mathbf{c}_A \in \mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}(\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{h}}(A) \leqslant r)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.4 and [13, Lem 7.2], we have $\overline{\mathfrak{c}_A} = \mathfrak{c}_A$ and $\overline{[\mathsf{diag}\,\lambda]} = [\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)]$, thus, $\overline{[\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)]\mathfrak{c}_A} = [\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)]\mathfrak{c}_A$.

By definition, $\mathfrak{c}_A = A(0,r) + \sum_{B\prec A} t_{B,A}B(0,r)$, where $t_{B,A} \in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)]\mathfrak{c}_A &= [\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)]A(0,r) + \sum_{B\prec A} t_{B,A}[\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)]B(0,r)\\ &= [A_\lambda] + \sum_{B\prec A} t_{B,A}[B_\lambda].\end{aligned}$$

Easy to see, $B \prec A$ implies $B_\lambda \prec A_\lambda$. Also, $\mathsf{row}(A_\lambda) = \mathsf{row}(B_\lambda) = \lambda$. Thus, if $\mu = \mathsf{col}(A_\lambda)$, $[\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)]\mathfrak{c}_A = \mathfrak{c}_A[\mathsf{diag}(\mu)]$. Consequently, we obtain

$$[\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)]\mathfrak{c}_A = [A_\lambda] + \sum_{B\sqsubset A} t_{B,A}[B_\lambda],$$

where $\sqsubset$ is the partial order relation on $\Theta_\triangle(n)$ defined in (7.2.1). Now, by the uniqueness of canonical basis relative to the basis $\{[A]\}_{A\in\Theta_\triangle(n)}$, we must have $\Theta_{A_\lambda,r} = [\mathsf{diag}(\lambda)]\mathfrak{c}_A$ and the result follows. ■

8. Appendix: Tightness of monomial basis via quadratic form

In this appendix, we briefly review the criterion for tight monomials of quantum groups $\mathbf{U}^+$ associated to a quiver (Q,σ) with automorphism σ in [6] or [33], based on which we prove Lemma 6.3.

Let (Q,σ) be a quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ with automorphism σ over $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and assume Q contains no oriented cycles. Let $F_{Q,\sigma,q}$ be the Frobenius morphism on the path algebra $A = kQ$ defined by

$$F = F_{Q.\sigma,q} : A \to A,\ \sum_s x_s p_s \mapsto \sum_s x_s^q \sigma(p_s),$$

where $\sum_s x_s p_s$ is a k-linear combination of path p_s. Thus, we have an $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra

$$A^F = \{a \in A \mid F(a) = a\},$$

which is a finite dimensional hereditary $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra.

Let I be the set of isoclasses of simple modules in A^F-module. The Grothendieck group $K_0(A^F)$ of A^F is then identified with the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}I$ with basis I. Given a module M in A^F-mod, we denote by $\mathbf{dim}M$ the image of M in $K_0(A^F)$.

The Euler form $\langle -,-\rangle : \mathbb{Z}I \times \mathbb{Z}I \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ associated with (Q,σ) is defined by

$$\langle \mathbf{dim}M, \mathbf{dim}N\rangle = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathsf{Hom}_{A^F}(M,N) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathsf{Ext}^1_{A^F}(M,N),$$

for $M, N \in A^F$-mod. Then the symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{Z}I$ is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{y} = \langle \boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x}\rangle,\ \text{for } \boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{Z}I.$$

Moreover, there exist unique Cartan datum $(I,\cdot)$ and generalized Cartan matrix $C_{Q,\sigma}$ associated with (Q,σ)(see [29, 1.1.1]), and assume $\mathbf{U}^+$ is the quantum algebra associated with $(I,\cdot)$, which is generated by $E_i, i \in I$ and subjects to Serre relations. By [26] or [23], there exist canonical bases for $\mathbf{U}^+$.

Definition 8.1. *For any fixed $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_t) \in I^t$ and $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_t) \in \mathbb{N}^t$, let $\mathscr{M}_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{a}}$ be the set of $t \times t$ matrices $A = (a_{rm})$ with entries a_{rm} in $\mathbb{N}$ satisfying the condition $\mathsf{row}(A) = \mathsf{col}(A) = \boldsymbol{a}$ and $a_{rm} = 0$ unless $i_r = i_m$. Define a quadratic form $\mathfrak{q} : \mathscr{M}_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{a}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ by setting*

$$\mathfrak{q}(A) = \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant m\leqslant t\\ 1\leqslant p<r\leqslant t}} \langle i_m, i_m\rangle a_{pm}a_{rm} + \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant p<r\leqslant t\\ 1\leqslant l<m\leqslant t}} (i_m\cdot i_m)a_{pm}a_{rl} + \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant r\leqslant t\\ 1\leqslant l<m\leqslant t}} \langle i_r, i_r\rangle a_{rm}a_{rl},$$

for all $A \in \mathscr{M}_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{a}}$.

Theorem 8.2 ([6, Thm 2.5]). *Let $\mathbf{U}^+$ be the quantum algebra associated with a Cartan datum $(I,\cdot)$. For $\boldsymbol{i}=(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_t)\in I^t$ and $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_t)\in\mathbb{N}^t$, the monomial $E_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{(\boldsymbol{a})}$ is tight(or a canonical basis element) if and only if $\mathfrak{q}(A)<0$ for all $A\in\mathscr{M}_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{a}}\setminus\{D_{\boldsymbol{a}}\}$, where $D_{\boldsymbol{a}}=\mathsf{diag}(a_1,\cdots,a_t)$.*

From now on, suppose (Q,id) is the Kronecker quiver

$$Q:\qquad 1 \rightrightarrows 2$$

with identity automorphism, the associated Cartan datum is $(I,\cdot)$, $I=\{1,2\}$. Note that there exists an isomorphism between the twisted composition subalgebras $\mathfrak{C}_q(Q)\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathfrak{C}_{\triangle}(2)$, both of which give a realization of the positive part of quantum algebra $\mathbf{U}_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, for details, see [35, 36].

In the above setting, $\langle i,i\rangle=1, i\cdot i=2$ for $i\in I$ and $\langle 1,2\rangle=-2,\langle 2,1\rangle=0,(1,2)=(2,1)=-2$.

Proof of Lemma 6.3. For $\boldsymbol{i}=\{(1,2,1),(2,1,2)\}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}=\{(a,b,c)\in\mathbb{N}_{>0}^3\}$, we have

$$\mathscr{M}_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{a}}\setminus\{D_{\boldsymbol{a}}\}=\big\{A_x\mid 0<x\leqslant\min\{a,c\}\big\},\ A_x=\begin{bmatrix}a-x & 0 & x\\ 0 & b & 0\\ x & 0 & c-x\end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{q}(A_x)&=\langle i_1,i_1\rangle a_{11}a_{31}+\langle i_3,i_3\rangle a_{31}a_{33}+(i_1\cdot i_2)a_{22}a_{31}+(i_2\cdot i_3)a_{13}a_{22}\\&\quad+(i_1\cdot i_3)a_{13}a_{31}+\langle i_1,i_1\rangle a_{11}a_{13}+\langle i_3,i_3\rangle a_{13}a_{33}\\&=(a-x)x+x(c-x)-2bx-2bx+2x^2+(a-x)x+(c-x)x\\&=-2x^2+2x(a+c-2b).\end{aligned}$$

Easy to see, for $0<x\leqslant\min\{a,c\}$, $\mathfrak{q}(A)<0\Longleftrightarrow 2b\geqslant a+c$. The result follows. ∎

Corollary 8.3. *For $\boldsymbol{i}=\{(1,2,1,2),(2,1,2,1)\}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}=\{(a,b,c,d)\in\mathbb{N}_{>0}^4\}$, $E_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{(\boldsymbol{a})}$ is tight monomial if and only if $2b\geqslant a+c$, $2c\geqslant b+d$, but at least one is strict.*

Proof. For $\boldsymbol{i}=\{(1,2,1,2),(2,1,2,1)\}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}=\{(a,b,c,d)\in\mathbb{N}_{>0}^4\}$, we have

$$\mathscr{M}_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{a}}\setminus\{D_{\boldsymbol{a}}\}=\big\{A_{x,y}\mid 0\leqslant x\leqslant\min\{a,c\},0\leqslant y\leqslant\min\{b,d\},x^2+y^2\neq 0\big\},$$

$$A_{x,y}=\begin{bmatrix}a-x & 0 & x & 0\\ 0 & b-y & 0 & y\\ x & 0 & c-x & 0\\ 0 & y & 0 & d-y\end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{q}(A_{x,y})&=2\big(\langle i_1,i_1\rangle x(a-x)+\langle i_2,i_2\rangle y(b-y)+\langle i_3,i_3\rangle x(c-x)+\langle i_4,i_4\rangle y(d-y)\big)\\&\quad+\big((i_1\cdot i_2)+(i_2\cdot i_3)\big)x(b-y)+(i_1\cdot i_3)x^2+\big((i_1\cdot i_4)+(i_2\cdot i_3)\big)xy\\&\quad+(i_2\cdot i_4)y^2+\big((i_2\cdot i_3)+(i_3\cdot i_4)\big)y(c-x)\\&=-2(x-y)^2+2x(a+c-2b)+2y(b+d-2c).\end{aligned}$$

If $2b\geqslant a+c$, $2c\geqslant b+d$, and at least one is strict, then it is obvious that $\mathfrak{q}(A_{x,y})<0$ for all $A_{x,y}\in\mathscr{M}_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{a}}\setminus\{D_{\boldsymbol{a}}\}$.

If for all $A_{x,y}\in\mathscr{M}_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{a}}\setminus\{D_{\boldsymbol{a}}\}$, $\mathfrak{q}(A_{x,y})<0$, then take special $x=0,y\neq 0(x\neq 0,y=0,\text{and } x=y\neq 0)$, we obtain that $2b\geqslant a+c(2c\geqslant b+d,\text{and } b+c>a+d)$, which means $2b\geqslant a+c$, $2c\geqslant b+d$, but at least one is strict. The result follows. ∎

Remark 8.4. The sufficient part was first given by Lusztig in [30, Sec.12].

References

[1] J. Beck, V. Chari and A. Pressley, *An algebraic characterization of the affine canonical basis*, Duke Math. J. **99** (1999), 455–487.
[2] J. Beck and H. Nakajima, *Crystal bases and two sided cells of quantum affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), 335–402.
[3] A. A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig and R. MacPherson, *A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of GL_n*, Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), no. 2, 655–677.
[4] K. Bongartz, *On degenerations and extensions of finite dimensional modules*, Adv. Math. **121** (1996), 245–287.
[5] B. Deng and J. Du, *Monomial bases for quantum affine $\mathfrak{sl}_n$*, Adv. Math. **191** (2005), 276–304.
[6] B. Deng and J. Du, *Tight monomials and the monomial basis property*, J. Algebra. **324** (2010), no. 12, 3355–3377.
[7] B. Deng, J. Du and Q. Fu, *A double Hall algebra approach to affine quantum Schur-Weyl theory*, no. 401, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
[8] B. Deng, J. Du, B. Parashall and J. Wang, *Finite dimensional algebras and quantum groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 150, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
[9] B. Deng, J. Du and J. Xiao, *Generic extensions and canonical bases for cyclic quivers*, Canad. J. Math. **59** (2007), no. 6, 1260–1283.
[10] V. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **36** (1988), no. 2, 212–216.
[11] J. Du, *Kahzdan-Lusztig base and isomorphism theorems for q-Schur algebras*, Contemp. Math. **139** (1992), 121–140.
[12] J. Du, *IC bases and quantum linear groups*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **56** (1994), 135–148.
[13] J. Du and Q. Fu, *The integral quantum loop algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*, arXiv:1404.5679v1.
[14] J. Du and Q. Fu, *A modified BLM approach to quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*, Math. Z. **266** (2010), no. 4, 747–781.
[15] J. Du and Q. Fu, *Quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ via Hecke algebras*, Adv. Math. **282** (2015), 23–46.
[16] J. Du and B. Parshall, *Linear quivers and the geometric setting of quantum GL_n*, Indag. Math.(N.S.) **13** (2002), no. 4, 459–481.
[17] J. Du and Z. Zhao, *Multiplication formulas and canonical basis for quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*, arXiv:1608.01423.
[18] V. Ginzburg and E. Vasserot, *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type An*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (1993), no. 3, 67–85.
[19] R. M. Green, *The affine q-Schur algebra*, J. Algebra. **215** (1999), no. 2, 379–411.
[20] I. Grojnowski and G. Lusztig, *A comparison of bases of quantized enveloping algebras*, Contemp. Math. **153** (1993), 11–19.
[21] J. Y. Guo, *The Hall polynomials of a cyclic serial algebra*, Comm. Algebra. **23** (1995), 743–751.
[22] J. C. Jantzen, *Lectures on quantum groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
[23] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465–516.
[24] C. Lai and L. Luo, *An elementary construction of monomial bases of quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*, arXiv:1506.07263v1.
[25] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), 165–184.
[26] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 2, 447–498.
[27] G. Lusztig, *Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 2, 365–421.
[28] G. Lusztig, *Affine quivers and canonical bases*, Inst. Hautes études Sci. Publ. Math. (1992), no. 76, 111–163.
[29] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Progress in Math., vol. 110, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
[30] G. Lusztig, *Tight monomials in quantized enveloping algebras*, in "Quantum deformations of algebras and their representations", Israel Math. Conf. Proc., vol. 7, 1993, pp. 117–132.
[31] G. Lusztig, *Aperiodicity in quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*, Asian J. Math **3** (1999), 147–177.
[32] M. Reineke, *Generic extensions and multiplicative bases of quantum groups at $q = 0$*, Represent. Theory. **5** (2001), 147–163.
[33] M. Reineke, *Monomials in canonical bases of quantum groups and quadratic forms*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra. **157** (2001), no. 2-3, 301–309.
[34] C. M. Ringel, *Hall algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), no. 3, 583–591.
[35] C. M. Ringel, *The composition algebra of a cyclic quiver*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **66** (1993), 507–537.

[36] C. M. Ringel, *Hall algebras revisited*, Quantum deformations of algebras and their representations (Ramat-Gan, 1991/1992; Rehovot, 1991/1992), Israel Math. Conf. Proc., vol. 7, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1993, pp. 171–176.
[37] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *On the decomposition matrices of the quantized Schur algebra*, Duke Math. J. **100** (1999), 267–297.
[38] N. Xi, *Canonical basis for type A_3*, Comm. Algebra. **27** (1999), no. 11, 5703–5710.
[39] N. Xi, *Canonical basis for type B_2*, J. Algebra. **214** (1999), no. 1, 8–21.
[40] J. Xiao, *Drinfeld double and Ringel–Green theory of Hall algebras*, J. Algebra. **190** (1997), no. 1, 100–144.
[41] G. Zwara, *Degenerations for modules over representation-finite biserial algebras*, J. Algebra. **198** (1997), no. 2, 563–581.

Department of Mathematics, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China.
E-mail address: zhaozh@mail.buct.edu.cn